

REMARKS

Claims 30 and 38 are amended, claims 36 and 37 are cancelled, and claims 30-35 and 38-39 remain in the application for consideration. In view of the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests a Notice of Allowability be issued.

§ 103 Rejections

Claims 30-39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0174882 to Turpin, et al. (hereinafter "Turpin") in view of U.S. Statutory Invention Reg. No. H1506 to Beretta.

The Claims

Claim 30 recites a computer-accessible medium having one or more instructions that are executable by one or more processors, the one or more instructions causing the one or more processors to (emphasis added):

- detect a color selected from a graphic user interface (GUI) color palette associated with an authoring environment;
- *indicate whether the selected color is valid, and if it is not valid, request that another color be selected from the GUI color palette;*
- normalize component values of the selected color in accordance with a number of bits-per-channel associated with the authoring environment;
- convert the normalized component values to corresponding component values in a standardized reference color coordinate system; and
- convert the component values in the standardized reference color coordinate system to corresponding component values in a receiver color coordinate system.

1 In making out the rejection of this claim, the Office argues that its subject
2 matter is obvious over Turpin in view of Beretta. Applicant respectfully disagrees.
3 Nonetheless, this claim has been amended as indicated above. Applicant
4 respectfully submits that the combination of Turpin and Beretta fails to disclose or
5 suggest all of this claims recited features.

6 Specifically, neither reference discloses or suggests the feature of
7 *indicating whether the selected color is valid, and if it is not valid, requesting*
8 *that another color be selected from the GUI color palette.* The Office argues that
9 Beretta discloses this feature at column 41, lines 16-33. This section of Beretta is
10 excerpted below for the convenience of the Office (emphasis added).

11
12 Then, in box 446, the electron gun voltages needed by display means 30 to
13 display the color are computed. Preferably, the RGB tristimulus color
14 specification represents a color in the standard calibrated (XCES RGB
15 Linear) monitor. If the user's monitor is a nonstandard monitor, the matrix
16 transformation computed during initialization processing (FIG. 19) must be
17 applied to the XYZ tristimulus values for each palette color to produce the
18 set of RGB color values adjusted for the user's nonstandard monitor. The
19 phosphor gun voltages are then computed from the RGB values in a
20 conventional manner. *If the RGB tristimulus values are not in a range*
21 *between zero (0) and one (1), they are "clamped" to that range using a*
22 *conventional "min/max clamping" technique.* This is necessary for
23 displaying colors properly in the monitor's gamut. This necessarily means
24 that the user will not be able to view properly those colors outside the
25 monitor's gamut but valid within a selected target gamut.

21 Among other things, this section merely discloses that RBG tristimulus
22 values may be clamped into a range between 0 and 1 if they are not already within
23 that range. This section further discloses that this clamping is effected using a
24 conventional min/max clamping technique. Nowhere, however, does this section
25 disclose or suggest the ability to *indicate whether or not a color is valid*, and if

1 the color is not valid, *request that another color be selected* from the GUI color
2 palette. This feature is simply absent from this cited section and, in point of fact,
3 from the entirety of Beretta.

4 Accordingly, and at least for the reason discussed above, the Office has
5 failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness with respect to this claim.
6 This claim is allowable.

7 **Claims 31-35 and 38-39** depend from claim 30 and thus are allowable as
8 depending from an allowable base claim. These claims are also allowable for their
9 own recited features which, in combination with those recited in claim 30, are
10 neither disclosed nor suggested by the reference of record.

11
12 **Conclusion**

13 All of the claims are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant
14 requests a Notice of Allowability be issued forthwith. If the Office's next
15 anticipated action is to be anything other than issuance of a Notice of Allowability,
16 Applicant respectfully requests a telephone call for the purpose of scheduling an
17 interview.

18
19 Respectfully Submitted,

20
21 Dated: 6/26/06

22 By: 

23 Lance R. Sadler
24 Reg. No. 38,605
25 (509) 324-9256